



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/815,394	03/22/2001		Christopher E. Cunningham	66-12424	4354	
7:	590	04/16/2003				
Henry C. Query, Jr.				EXAMINER		
504 S. Pierce Ave. Wheaton, IL 60187				KEASEL,	KEASEL, ERIC S	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3754	1)	
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/200			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/815,394	CUNNINGHAM, CHRI	STOPHER E.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eric Keasel	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to places the application	o a on in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	•				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Of	riate extension fice action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) _ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) \(\simega\) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	olifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: the change to the scope of claim 1 would	require further consideration.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ar	nendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT ¡	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were r	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .	·						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-15.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examine	er.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

100

Continuation of 10. Other: the proposed amended claim 1 is not in Jepson format. The proposed amended claim 1 now appears to be drawn to a combination of a gate valve with a component having an elongated body. This is not the originally presented invention (which was drawn to a gate valve only and a closure member only). It should be noted that applicant can not switch inventions by way of an RCE (see MPEP 819).

EL GAPEO3

Gene Mancene Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700